



From Copyright Protection to a Knowledge-Based Economy: The Korean Experience and Its Potential for Adaptation in Algeria

De la protection du droit d'auteur à l'économie fondée sur la connaissance: l'expérience coréenne et ses possibilités d'adaptation en Algérie

Dr. Bouhamidi sarah^{*1},

Higher School of Commerce (Algeria), Laboratory of Local Authorities Management and Their Role in Achieving Development, Email: Etd_bouhamidi@esc-alger.dz.

Dr. Dida Abbas²,

University of Tipaza (Algeria), Islamic Finance Studies and Sustainable Development Research Laboratory, Email: abbas.dida@univ-tipaza.dz.

Dr. Elhadj Ahmed Faouzi³,

University of El Oued (Algeria), Laboratory of Sustainable Economic Business Management, Email: faouzi-elhadjahmed@univ-eloued.dz.

Received: 01/04/2026

Accepted: 28/07/2026

Published: 29/09/2026

Abstract:

This article examines what Algeria may learn from South Korea's experience in developing copyright-based industries and a knowledge-oriented economic structure. The analysis is based on the supplied comparative study, which describes Algeria's hydrocarbon dependence, its copyright-law trajectory, institutional enforcement challenges, and the gap between the two countries' innovation profiles. South Korea's experience is presented as a long-term process involving human-capital development, export orientation, technology acquisition, intellectual-property protection, institutional reform, and growth of copyright-intensive activities. The article argues that the principal lesson for Algeria is systemic: copyright reform should be integrated with innovation policy, human-resource development, technology transfer, market access, institutional coordination, and reliable enforcement. The Korean experience cannot be copied mechanically because institutional, economic, and historical conditions differ. The article therefore formulates policy directions rather than a one-size-fits-all model.

Keywords: Algeria; South Korea; copyright; innovation; knowledge economy; technology transfer; intellectual property policy.



Résumé:

Cet article examine les enseignements que l'Algérie pourrait tirer de l'expérience de la Corée du Sud dans le développement des industries fondées sur le droit d'auteur et dans la construction d'une économie axée sur la connaissance. L'analyse s'appuie sur l'étude comparative fournie, qui met en évidence la dépendance de l'Algérie aux hydrocarbures, l'évolution de son cadre juridique relatif au droit d'auteur, les difficultés liées à l'application institutionnelle de ce droit, ainsi que l'écart entre les profils d'innovation des deux pays. L'expérience sud-coréenne est présentée comme un processus de long terme reposant sur le développement du capital humain, l'orientation vers l'exportation, l'acquisition de technologies, la protection de la propriété intellectuelle, la réforme institutionnelle et l'essor des activités à forte intensité de droit d'auteur.

L'article soutient que le principal enseignement pour l'Algérie est de nature systémique : la réforme du droit d'auteur devrait être intégrée à la politique d'innovation, au développement des ressources humaines, au transfert de technologies, à l'accès aux marchés, à la coordination institutionnelle et à la mise en œuvre effective des mécanismes de protection. L'expérience sud-coréenne ne saurait être transposée mécaniquement, compte tenu des différences institutionnelles, économiques et historiques entre les deux pays. L'article propose ainsi des orientations de politique publique plutôt qu'un modèle uniforme applicable indistinctement.

Mots-clés: Algérie ; Corée du Sud ; droit d'auteur ; innovation ; économie fondée sur la connaissance ; transfert de technologies ; politique de propriété intellectuelle.

1. Introduction

The transition from a resource-dependent economy toward a knowledge-based economy is not achieved through a single legal reform or a single technology. It is a cumulative process in which institutions, skills, productive capabilities, market structures, technological learning, and forms of intangible property develop together. This observation is particularly relevant to Algeria, where hydrocarbons have historically occupied a dominant position in exports and economic activity, while diversification into knowledge-intensive and copyright-based activities remains comparatively less developed. The supplied study therefore places copyright within a wider question of structural transformation rather than treating it as an isolated field of private law.

Copyright has become increasingly relevant to economic transformation because a growing proportion of economic value is embodied in intangible assets. Software, digital publications, audiovisual works, music, databases, games, educational content, designs, and other creative outputs can be reproduced and distributed at comparatively low marginal cost, while their production depends heavily on human knowledge and organizational capability. Consequently, an effective copyright system may influence the incentives and conditions under which creators, firms, investors, universities, and technology partners develop and commercialize intangible assets. This does not mean that stronger protection automatically generates growth.

The relationship is mediated by institutional quality, market structure, technological capability, education, access to finance, and the capacity of domestic firms to innovate (Gould & Gruben, 1996, pp. 323–350). South Korea offers a useful comparative reference because its transformation was gradual and involved successive stages of capability accumulation. The supplied literature describes a trajectory from post-war institutional and human-resource development to export-oriented industrialization, technology importation, technological learning, industrial upgrading, and later expansion into knowledge-intensive activities. Copyright protection developed within this broader process and became increasingly important as technology, cultural production, and intangible assets gained economic significance (Lee & Kim, 2010, pp. 115–138).

The purpose of this article is consequently not to identify South Korea as a model to be copied, but to identify mechanisms that may be relevant to Algeria. The comparison asks what institutional and economic conditions allowed copyright protection to become connected to technological and productive transformation in Korea, and what elements of that experience could be adapted to Algerian conditions. Particular attention is given to legal evolution, enforcement, human capital, technology transfer, market access, innovation, digital piracy, institutional coordination, and statistical measurement.

Problem statement: Which elements of South Korea’s experience with copyright protection and copyright-based industries are potentially relevant to Algeria’s transition toward a more diversified knowledge-based economy?

Objectives: The article has four objectives: first, to situate copyright within South Korea’s broader developmental trajectory; second, to examine Algeria’s economic and institutional context; third, to compare the mechanisms through which law, technology, human capital, and market conditions interact; and fourth, to formulate an integrated policy framework and measurement agenda for Algeria.

Methodology: The study uses qualitative comparative analysis of the supplied study and its cited literature. It is interpretive and policy-oriented rather than causal. The analysis does not claim that copyright reforms alone caused Korean economic growth, nor does it estimate a causal effect of enforcement on Algerian investment or innovation. Where the source material does not provide current quantitative indicators, the article identifies the need for future empirical measurement rather than supplying unsupported estimates.

1. From Resource Dependence to Knowledge-Based Development

A knowledge-based economy is characterized by the increasing importance of knowledge, skills, research, technology, information, and intangible assets in the creation of value. Such an economy is not simply an economy with a large technology sector. It is an economic structure in which knowledge becomes embedded in production, management, services, creative industries, research, and innovation. Copyright-based activities form one part of this structure because they transform intellectual and creative effort into potentially tradable economic assets.



For resource-dependent economies, the transition toward knowledge-based activity is particularly demanding. Natural-resource revenues can provide fiscal capacity and infrastructure investment, but they can also reduce incentives for diversification if productive capabilities outside the dominant sector remain weak. Diversification therefore requires deliberate accumulation of human capital, entrepreneurial capabilities, technological knowledge, and institutions capable of supporting new sectors. The supplied study presents Algeria's hydrocarbon dependence as a structural context in which copyright and innovation could contribute to broader diversification rather than as substitutes for the resource sector.

Copyright should consequently be understood as part of an institutional infrastructure for intangible production. A copyright statute may define exclusive rights, exceptions, remedies, registration arrangements, and enforcement mechanisms, but these legal provisions operate within markets. If creators cannot reach consumers, firms cannot access finance, universities do not cooperate with industry, digital distribution is unreliable, or enforcement is unpredictable, the existence of formal rights may have limited economic impact. Conversely, when legal rules are embedded in a functioning innovation ecosystem, copyright may facilitate licensing, commercialization, investment, and international exchange.

This systemic interpretation is consistent with the literature cited in the supplied study. Gould and Gruben (Gould & Gruben, 1996, pp. 323–350) examined the relationship between intellectual-property rights and economic growth, while Kanwar and Evenson (Kanwar & Evenson, 2003, pp. 235–264) addressed the relationship between intellectual-property protection and technological change. Furthermore, Chen and Puttitanun (Chen & Puttitanun, 2005, pp. 474–498) considered how intellectual-property rights interact with innovation in developing countries. Taken together, these works support a cautious approach: intellectual-property institutions can matter, but their economic effects depend on development conditions and institutional complementarities.

2. Comparative Analysis of South Korea and Algeria

Examining South Korea's structural trajectory and Algeria's institutional context reveals key comparative insights for economic transformation.

2.1 South Korea's Development Trajectory

The Korean experience described in the source should be interpreted as a sequence of capability-building processes. The country did not move directly from a low-income economy to a knowledge economy through copyright reform. Early development involved institutional reconstruction and human-resource formation. Export orientation then created pressure for firms to acquire technology, improve quality, develop production capabilities, and compete internationally. Technology importation was therefore accompanied by learning and adaptation rather than being treated as an end in itself.

During the 1970s and 1980s, the supplied study identifies active technology importation and a shift toward heavy industry. During the following period, the economy increasingly



emphasized knowledge-intensive production, including automobiles, electronics, and mechanical industries. This trajectory is significant because it demonstrates that technological catch-up requires domestic capabilities capable of absorbing external knowledge. In this context, Lee (Lee, 2009) as well as Lee and Mathews (Lee & Mathews, 2009) emphasize the importance of capability formation and industrial upgrading in sustained catch-up development.

Copyright protection became more relevant as the structure of production changed. In an economy where industrial growth increasingly depends on software, media, design, technical information, digital content, and other intangible assets, the legal status of these assets becomes economically significant. The supplied study therefore places copyright strengthening alongside broader technological upgrading rather than treating it as a stand-alone engine of growth.

An important implication is that institutional timing matters. Legal institutions may become more economically valuable as domestic firms and creators acquire greater capacity to produce intangible assets. At the same time, credible legal protection can facilitate the participation of foreign technology holders and reduce uncertainty surrounding technology transfer (Lee & Kim, 2010, pp. 115–138). This relationship should nevertheless be interpreted cautiously: legal protection is one enabling condition within a larger system of technological and industrial development. The Korean case also illustrates the importance of feedback. Export activity exposes firms to international competition; competition increases pressure for technological improvement; technological improvement creates more valuable intangible assets; and the growing value of intangible assets increases the importance of legal protection and commercialization mechanisms. In this sense, copyright policy can become increasingly relevant as an economy moves upward in technological complexity.

2.2 The Evolution and Economic Context of Copyright in Algeria

The supplied study describes Algeria as a hydrocarbon-dependent economy in which agriculture, industry, and services coexist with a dominant petroleum and natural-gas sector. It reports that Algeria became a member of WIPO in 1971 and enacted a comprehensive copyright law in 2003. These milestones demonstrate the formal existence of a copyright framework, but the article emphasizes that the presence of legislation should not be equated with the full effectiveness of the copyright system.

The institutional challenges identified in the source include enforcement, unauthorized software use, judicial remedies, disclosure of protected information, market access, and coordination among institutions and stakeholders. The government has undertaken awareness, enforcement, capacity-building, and cooperation initiatives, including engagement with WIPO and private-sector actors. Such measures are relevant because copyright protection requires more than statutory rules: it requires administrative competence, judicial accessibility, technical expertise, public awareness, and cooperation among public and private actors.

The Algerian context also differs from the Korean context in the structure of economic production. Korea's industrial transformation involved sustained export-oriented manufacturing and technological upgrading, whereas Algeria's economic structure has been strongly influenced by hydrocarbons. The lesson is therefore not to reproduce Korean industrial policy. Instead, Algeria needs to identify sectors in which intangible assets can generate domestic and export value, including software, digital services, audiovisual production, publishing, education technologies, design, games, databases, and other creative or knowledge-intensive activities where domestic capabilities can be developed.

A further issue is the relationship between copyright and digital transformation. Traditional copyright systems were designed around identifiable works and established modes of distribution. Digital production has altered the speed, scale, and geography of copying and distribution. Software can be reproduced globally; digital content can circulate through platforms; artificial intelligence can generate or transform content; and databases have become important economic resources. The supplied study therefore supports the need for an adaptive framework capable of responding to technological change rather than relying exclusively on static legal provisions.

Table 1. Comparative Institutional and Developmental Dimensions: South Korea and Algeria

Dimension	South Korea (as described in the supplied study)	Algeria (as described in the supplied study)
Economic structure	Long-term industrial transformation, export orientation, technological upgrading and expansion of knowledge-intensive production.	Strong hydrocarbon dependence with diversification challenges across agriculture, industry and services.
Human capital	Human-resource formation treated as a foundation for technological and industrial upgrading.	Skills development identified as necessary for copyright, software, research, management and digital activities.
Technology transfer	Technology importation accompanied by domestic learning, capability accumulation and industrial upgrading.	Technology transfer should connect foreign investment, licensing, universities, domestic firms, training and enforcement.
Copyright institutions	Framework progressively strengthened alongside technological change and international commitments.	Formal framework exists, but enforcement, remedies, coordination and awareness remain important challenges.

Digital enforcement	Online infringement generated demand for technical and institutional responses.	Unauthorized software use and digital piracy require stronger technical, administrative and judicial capacity.
Market orientation	Export orientation created incentives for quality, technology acquisition and competitiveness.	Market access and competition are identified as relevant to diversification and commercialization.
Measurement	Copyright-based industries can be assessed through output, value added, employment and related indicators.	A national statistical baseline for copyright-based industries remains necessary.

Source: Source: Compiled by the author based on the supplied comparative study and the literature cited therein, particularly Lee (2009), OECD (2002), and WIPO (2006).

Table 1 highlights that the central difference is not simply the existence or absence of copyright law. Rather, the two contexts differ in the extent to which legal protection is embedded in a broader development ecosystem. The Korean trajectory connects human capital, technology acquisition, export orientation, industrial learning, and institutional adaptation. Algeria possesses a formal copyright framework and has undertaken enforcement and awareness initiatives, but the supplied study identifies a need for stronger coordination and integration with innovation and diversification policy. The comparison therefore suggests that Algeria's principal challenge is systemic: copyright institutions need to operate alongside skills formation, technology transfer, market access, research, entrepreneurship, and measurable commercialization outcomes.

2.3 Comparative Institutional Dimensions

The first comparative dimension is legal evolution. The supplied study indicates that Korea's copyright framework was repeatedly adapted to technological change and international commitments. This adaptability is relevant to Algeria because the digital economy continuously creates new forms of production and distribution. A contemporary framework must be sufficiently precise to protect rights while remaining capable of addressing software, online dissemination, digital platforms, databases, and emerging forms of computer-assisted creation.

The second dimension is institutional specialization. Copyright systems involve multiple functions: policy formulation, registration or documentation, enforcement, dispute resolution, public awareness, customs control, judicial remedies, and cooperation with creators and industry. Concentrating all functions in a fragmented or poorly coordinated structure may reduce effectiveness. Algeria can therefore consider clearer functional allocation and stronger inter-



institutional coordination while recognizing that the institutional architecture should be adapted to its own administrative and legal environment.

The third dimension is enforcement credibility. The economic significance of a right depends partly on the credibility of remedies when infringement occurs. If creators and firms perceive enforcement as unpredictable, they may have weaker incentives to invest in commercializing intangible assets. The Korean literature cited by the source associates stronger protection with confidence among foreign technology holders during technological catch-up (Lee & Kim, 2010, pp. 115–138). For Algeria, however, this proposition should be tested empirically rather than assumed.

The fourth dimension is institutional coordination. Copyright intersects with universities, research organizations, customs, courts, digital platforms, telecommunications, business associations, cultural institutions, and technology companies. Coordination can reduce duplication, improve information exchange, and support consistent enforcement. It can also improve the policy feedback loop by allowing institutions to identify emerging forms of infringement and new sectors requiring support.

3. Ecosystem Pillars: Human Capital, Technology, and Markets

Building a robust knowledge economy requires aligning human capital, technology transfer, and market mechanisms to support innovation and sustainable growth.

3.1 Human Capital and the Knowledge Economy

Human capital is one of the strongest common elements in the Korean developmental trajectory and in the requirements of a modern copyright economy. Copyright-intensive sectors are not limited to artists and authors. They require software developers, designers, editors, producers, legal professionals, researchers, digital-security specialists, managers, marketers, translators, and entrepreneurs. Consequently, copyright policy cannot be separated from education and skills policy.

For Algeria, the development of human capital could occur through universities, vocational education, professional certification, research laboratories, incubators, and industry partnerships. Legal education can be expanded to include digital copyright, licensing, software, data, artificial intelligence, platform governance, and technology transfer. At the same time, technical students and creators need basic knowledge of intellectual-property rights so that legal protection becomes part of the production process rather than an issue considered only after infringement occurs.

University–industry cooperation is particularly important because universities produce knowledge while firms possess mechanisms for commercialization. A stronger interface between the two can facilitate licensing, spin-offs, software commercialization, creative entrepreneurship, and applied research. The objective is not merely to increase the number of registered works, but to increase the number of economically viable intangible assets that can be legally produced, licensed, exported, and reused.

The Korean experience also suggests that skills accumulation is cumulative. Imported technologies become more valuable when domestic workers and firms can absorb, adapt, improve, and eventually generate new technologies. The same logic applies to copyright: legal protection becomes economically meaningful when creators and enterprises possess the capabilities required to create high-value content and reach markets.

3.2 Technology Transfer and Intellectual Property

Technology transfer is a central link between intellectual-property protection and economic diversification. The supplied study describes Korea's use of imported technology as part of a broader process of industrial learning. Foreign technologies provided access to knowledge, but domestic capability development allowed firms to adapt and improve what they acquired. The Korean experience therefore illustrates that technology transfer should be treated as a learning process rather than a simple purchase transaction.

For Algeria, technology transfer should connect foreign investment, domestic firms, universities, research institutions, training, licensing, and enforcement. Copyright is particularly relevant to software, databases, digital media, technical documentation, and other intangible components of technology. When rights are clearly defined and licensing arrangements are predictable, technology partnerships may become easier to structure. However, the existence of legal protection alone cannot guarantee successful transfer; absorptive capacity, finance, managerial skills, infrastructure, and market conditions also matter.

A policy framework for technology transfer should therefore distinguish between acquisition and absorption. Acquisition refers to obtaining technology through licensing, investment, procurement, or partnership. Absorption refers to the domestic ability to understand, adapt, maintain, improve, and eventually generate related knowledge. The latter requires education, research, engineering capabilities, and interaction between firms and universities.

This distinction is important for Algeria because an economy may import substantial technology without developing domestic capabilities. In such circumstances, intellectual-property expenditure can rise without a proportional increase in domestic innovation. The policy objective should consequently be to create conditions in which external technology contributes to local capability formation.

3.3 Market Access, Entrepreneurship, and Commercialization

The economic value of copyright depends on the ability to bring protected works to users. Market access is therefore a necessary complement to legal protection. The supplied study identifies fair and equitable market access as relevant to diversification and suggests that restrictions may inhibit the development of copyright-based activities. In a knowledge economy, market access also involves digital platforms, payment systems, export channels, competition, business services, and consumer trust.



Entrepreneurship is the mechanism that can transform creative and technological capacity into commercial activity. A software developer may create an application, but commercialization requires a business model, financing, legal advice, marketing, distribution, customer support, and protection of the underlying intangible assets. Similar conditions apply to audiovisual production, publishing, digital education, games, design, and other creative sectors.

Algerian policy could therefore connect copyright institutions with entrepreneurship programs and innovation-support mechanisms. Incubators and accelerators can provide training on licensing, contracts, valuation of intangible assets, and market strategy. Universities can facilitate spin-offs. Public procurement can create initial markets for locally developed digital solutions, provided that procurement remains competitive and transparent. Export-support programs can help firms reach regional and international markets.

This approach also broadens the understanding of copyright from enforcement to economic development. The objective is not simply to reduce infringement but to increase legitimate production and consumption. A successful system should make lawful creation and distribution commercially attractive while ensuring that enforcement remains proportionate and compatible with lawful access, education, research, and the public domain.

3.4 Piracy, Digital Enforcement, and Legal Remedies

The supplied study identifies piracy and unauthorized use as significant challenges in Algeria and describes enforcement measures including raids, destruction of pirated content, customs seizures, and awareness campaigns. These measures demonstrate active enforcement, but the study also raises questions about the effectiveness and accessibility of judicial remedies. In copyright-intensive cultural industries, effective copyright management is also essential for protecting creators and ensuring that rights can be appropriately administered and commercialized, particularly in the music industry (Soh & Woo, 2002).

The Korean experience with online cultural-content markets, discussed by Park et al. (Park et al., 2004, pp. 3–20), illustrates that digital infringement requires more than conventional physical-market enforcement. Online environments involve technical monitoring, platform cooperation, evidence preservation, cross-border coordination, and specialized expertise. Legal rules must therefore be complemented by technological capacity.

For Algeria, digital enforcement could be strengthened through specialized expertise, clearer procedures for digital evidence, cooperation with online platforms, improved customs and judicial coordination, and accessible dispute-resolution mechanisms. Awareness is equally important because users may not always understand licensing requirements or the economic consequences of unauthorized distribution.

At the same time, enforcement must remain balanced. A mature copyright system protects authors and rights holders while preserving lawful educational use, legitimate quotation, research, access to public-domain materials, and lawful licensing. Overly rigid enforcement can create

unnecessary barriers to knowledge circulation. The objective should therefore be a credible and proportionate system that protects rights without undermining legitimate access and innovation.

4. Measurement, Strategy, and Conclusion

Establishing empirical measurement and strategic integration is essential for transforming Algeria's copyright framework into a driver of economic diversification.

4.1 Copyright-Based Industries as a Measurable Economic Sector

One of the most important lessons identified in the supplied study is the need for measurement. Policy cannot be evaluated adequately if the economic contribution of copyright-based activities remains statistically invisible. WIPO (WIPO, 2006) provides a framework for national studies assessing the economic contribution of copyright-based industries through indicators such as output, value added, employment, and related measures.

For Algeria, establishing a national baseline would have several benefits. First, it would identify sectors with existing productive potential. Second, it would allow policymakers to distinguish between legal activity, informal activity, and infringement. Third, it would make it possible to monitor changes following institutional reforms. Fourth, it would facilitate international comparison using harmonized categories. Finally, it would provide evidence for decisions concerning education, investment, innovation support, and enforcement.

Measurement should not be limited to the number of registered copyrights. Registration counts can indicate administrative activity but do not necessarily measure economic value. A broader system should consider firms, employment, turnover, exports, licensing revenues, digital distribution, software production, audiovisual production, publishing, music, design, and other relevant categories. Where data are unavailable, the first stage should be the construction of a consistent classification and data-collection methodology.

The statistical framework should also distinguish direct and indirect effects. Direct effects arise from copyright-based industries themselves. Indirect effects may arise through technology transfer, productivity improvement, digital services, tourism-related creative content, education technologies, and innovation spillovers. Because indirect effects are more difficult to identify, they should not be conflated with direct contributions without appropriate empirical methods.

Table 2. Proposed Measurement Framework for Algerian Copyright-Based Industries

Indicator	What it measures	Potential policy use
Number of copyright-based firms	Scale and structure of the sector	Identify emerging sectors and support entrepreneurship.
Employment	Jobs generated directly by copyright-based activities	Assess labor-market contribution and skills needs.

Value added	Economic contribution to national output	Compare sectors and monitor diversification.
Turnover/revenue	Commercial scale of copyright-based activities	Assess market development and business performance.
Exports	International market penetration of intangible products and services	Evaluate export diversification and competitiveness.
Licensing income	Commercialization of protected intangible assets	Assess the functioning of licensing markets.
Software and digital-content production	Domestic capacity in knowledge-intensive digital activities	Guide technology and innovation policy.
Research and university-industry agreements	Connection between knowledge production and commercialization	Measure technology-transfer capacity.
Reported infringements and enforcement outcomes	Scale and institutional response to infringement	Evaluate enforcement effectiveness and emerging risks.
Training and professional qualifications	Availability of specialized human capital	Align education and skills policy with sector demand.

Source: Proposed by the author on the basis of the measurement logic in WIPO (2006) and the institutional and developmental issues identified in the supplied study.

Table 2 translates the comparative argument into an operational policy framework. Its central implication is that Algeria needs to move from a predominantly legal conception of copyright toward a measurable economic-policy conception. Indicators should capture production, employment, commercialization, exports, licensing, skills, research links, and enforcement. The table is not intended to assert that all indicators are currently available in Algeria; rather, it identifies a future data architecture. Establishing a baseline would permit subsequent studies to test whether reforms correspond with changes in investment, technology licensing, creative employment, software production, exports, and innovation outcomes. Such measurement would also reduce the risk of basing policy on assumptions about the economic importance of copyright without empirical evidence.

4.2 Toward an Integrated Algerian Strategy

The comparative evidence supports an integrated strategy built around mutually reinforcing pillars rather than isolated interventions:

- a. **Adaptive legislation:** Algerian copyright rules should be capable of responding to digital distribution, software, online platforms, databases, artificial intelligence, and new forms of

creative production. Adaptation should be guided by technological developments and practical enforcement experience.

- b. **Institutional coordination:** Clear responsibilities should be established among copyright authorities, courts, customs, universities, cultural institutions, innovation agencies, digital-sector actors, and relevant business organizations. Coordination mechanisms should facilitate information sharing, joint training, and consistent interpretation of legal rules.
- c. **Digital enforcement:** Enforcement capacity should include specialized technical expertise, digital evidence procedures, platform cooperation, and mechanisms for resolving disputes efficiently. The goal should be to improve predictability and accessibility rather than relying exclusively on punitive campaigns.
- d. **Human-capital development:** Universities and professional institutions should integrate copyright and intellectual-property management into relevant curricula. Technical and creative students should receive practical training on licensing, contracts, commercialization, and digital rights. Legal professionals should develop expertise in software, platforms, digital evidence, and technology transfer.
- e. **Technology transfer and innovation:** Copyright policy should connect with foreign investment, licensing, university research, incubators, entrepreneurship, and domestic capability development. Technology acquisition should be accompanied by mechanisms that increase local absorptive capacity.
- f. **Market access:** Domestic creators and firms need opportunities to sell, license, export, and distribute their products. Digital payment systems, business services, competition, export support, and public-private partnerships can all influence commercialization.
- g. **Measurement and evaluation:** Regular statistics should track copyright-based output, value added, employment, exports, licensing, and other indicators. Policy evaluation should then compare outcomes over time rather than relying solely on the number of legislative reforms or enforcement actions.

4.3 Why the Korean Experience Cannot Be Mechanically Replicated

Comparative policy transfer requires caution. South Korea and Algeria differ in historical trajectories, industrial structures, state-market relations, demographic characteristics, international economic integration, and patterns of technological development. The fact that a particular Korean institution or policy operated within one historical context does not establish that the same intervention would produce the same result in Algeria.

The value of the Korean case lies in mechanisms rather than institutional imitation. These mechanisms include investment in human capital, technological learning, export orientation, capability development, institutional adaptation, and integration between legal protection and productive activity. Algeria can adapt these principles to its own sectors and administrative structures.



This distinction also prevents an overly legalistic interpretation of development. Strong copyright protection cannot substitute for weak education, limited research capacity, inadequate financing, restricted market access, or insufficient digital infrastructure. Similarly, investment in technology without appropriate legal and institutional arrangements may fail to generate sustainable domestic capabilities. The policy challenge is therefore one of complementarity.

The Korean case should also not be reduced to copyright alone. The supplied study makes clear that the growth of copyright-based activities occurred within a broader economic transformation. Treating copyright as the primary or exclusive explanation would therefore misrepresent the comparative evidence.

4.4 Policy Priorities and Findings

Findings:

1. South Korea's copyright-sector development was embedded in a wider process of technological catch-up, human-capital formation, export-oriented industrialization, and institutional adaptation.
2. Algeria's copyright-policy challenge is institutional as well as legislative, involving enforcement, remedies, coordination, awareness, digital transformation, and market conditions.
3. Technology transfer and copyright protection can be complementary when legal protection is credible and accompanied by domestic capability development, training, and institutional support.
4. Measuring copyright-based industries can provide Algeria with an evidence base for diversification and knowledge-economy policy.

Recommendations:

5. Integrate copyright reform into a national innovation and economic-diversification strategy rather than treating it as a standalone legal policy.
6. Build specialized and coordinated institutional capacity for digital copyright enforcement, dispute resolution, registration, awareness, and stakeholder support.
7. Link copyright policy to human-capital development, technology transfer, university–industry cooperation, entrepreneurship, research, and lawful foreign investment.
8. Establish regular national statistics on copyright-based output, value added, employment, exports, licensing, and sectoral growth using a consistent classification.

4.5 Conclusion and Research Agenda

The comparison between South Korea and Algeria indicates that copyright protection is most economically meaningful when integrated into a broader process of technological and institutional transformation. South Korea's experience, as presented in the supplied study and cited literature, involved human-capital formation, export orientation, technology acquisition, technological upgrading, industrial learning, and progressive institutional adaptation. Copyright



protection developed within this ecosystem and became increasingly significant as intangible assets gained economic importance.

For Algeria, the principal implication is that copyright policy should be connected to economic diversification and knowledge-based development rather than treated as a self-contained legal field. Stronger and more predictable enforcement, institutional coordination, human-capital development, technology transfer, university–industry cooperation, entrepreneurship, market access, and statistical measurement should be advanced as complementary elements.

The Korean case does not provide a ready-made institutional template for Algeria. Differences in economic structure, historical experience, institutional capacity, and market conditions require adaptation. The appropriate lesson is therefore methodological and systemic: build capabilities, connect institutions, enable lawful commercialization, learn from technology transfer, and evaluate results through measurable indicators.

Research Agenda: Future research should construct an Algeria-specific baseline for copyright-based industries and compare it with Korea using harmonized WIPO categories. Further work should also test whether stronger enforcement is associated with measurable changes in investment, technology licensing, firm productivity, creative employment, software production, exports, and innovation outcomes. Such evidence would allow future policy to move from general assumptions toward demonstrable relationships and locally grounded decisions.

Conclusion:

The comparison between South Korea and Algeria indicates that copyright protection is most economically meaningful when integrated into a broader process of technological and institutional transformation. South Korea’s experience, as presented in the supplied study and cited literature, involved human-capital formation, export orientation, technology acquisition, technological upgrading, industrial learning, and progressive institutional adaptation. Copyright protection developed within this ecosystem and became increasingly significant as intangible assets gained economic importance.

For Algeria, the principal implication is that copyright policy should be connected to economic diversification and knowledge-based development rather than treated as a self-contained legal field. Stronger and more predictable enforcement, institutional coordination, human-capital development, technology transfer, university–industry cooperation, entrepreneurship, market access, and statistical measurement should be advanced as complementary elements.

The Korean case does not provide a ready-made institutional template for Algeria. Differences in economic structure, historical experience, institutional capacity, and market conditions require adaptation. The appropriate lesson is therefore methodological and systemic: build capabilities, connect institutions, enable lawful commercialization, learn from technology transfer, and evaluate results through measurable indicators.



Future empirical work should establish an Algeria-specific baseline for copyright-based industries and compare it with South Korea using harmonized WIPO categories. It should also test whether stronger enforcement and institutional coordination are associated with measurable changes in investment, technology licensing, firm productivity, creative employment, software production, exports, and innovation outcomes. Such evidence would allow future policy to move from general assumptions toward demonstrable relationships and locally grounded decisions.

Future Research:

Future research should construct an Algeria-specific baseline for copyright-based industries and compare it with Korea using harmonized WIPO categories. Further work should also test whether stronger enforcement is associated with measurable changes in investment, technology licensing, firm productivity, creative employment, software production, exports, and innovation outcomes.

References:

- Chen, Y., & Puttitanun, T. (2005). Intellectual property rights and innovation in developing countries. *Journal of Development Economics*, 78, 474–498.
- Gould, D. M., & Gruben, W. C. (1996). The role of intellectual property rights in economic growth. *Journal of Development Economics*, 48, 323–350.
- Kanwar, S., & Evenson, R. (2003). Does intellectual property protection spur technological change? *Oxford Economic Papers*, 55, 235–264.
- Lee, K. (2009). Can Korea be a role model of catching-up development? A capability-based view. WIDER Research Paper.
- Lee, K., & Mathews, J. A. (2009). Upgrading in the same industry and successive entries in new industries for sustained catch-up. Workshop paper.
- Lee, K., & Kim, Y. K. (2010). IPR and technological catch-up in Korea. In H. Odagiri, A. Goto, A. Sunami, & R. Nelson (Eds.), *Intellectual property rights, development and catch-up*. Oxford University Press.
- OECD. (2002). *Handbook for measurement of the non-observed economy: Underground, informal and illegal*. OECD.
- Park, H. J., Kim, J.-B., & Lee, J. H. (2004). A study on the online illegal trade of cultural contents in Korea with special reference to the movies. *Review of Cultural Economics*, 7(2), 3–20.
- Soh, B. H., & Woo, C. Y. (2002). Understanding the concept of copyrights and the direction for improving copyright management in the music industry. *Review of Cultural Economics*, 5(1).
- WIPO. (2006). *National studies on assessing the economic contribution of the copyright-based industries (Creative Industries Series No. 1)*. World Intellectual Property Organization.